arXiv:1402.3485v1 [math.CA] 14 Feb 2014

Beta operators with Jacobi weights

Heiner Gonska, Ioan Raşa and Elena Dorina Stănilă

Abstract

We discuss Beta operators with Jacobi weights on $C[0,1]$ for $\alpha, \beta \geq -1$, thus including the discussion of three limiting cases. Emphasis is on the moments and their asymptotic behavior. Extended Voronovskaya-type results and a discussion concerning the over-iteration of the operators are included.

Keywords: Beta operator, Jacobi weight, moments, asymptotics, Voronovskaya-type results, over-iteration.
MSC 2010: 41A36, 41A60, 33B15.

1 Introduction

Many operators arising in the theory of positive linear operators are compositions of other mappings of this type. Many times the classical Bernstein operator B_n given for $f \in C[0,1], n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in [0,1]$ by

$$B_n(f;x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \ 0 \leq k \leq n, \tag{1.1}$$

is one of the building blocks. Other frequently used factor operators are Beta-type operators $\mathcal{B}_r^{\alpha,\beta}$ of various kinds which will be further discussed in this note. The best known examples are the genuine Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators U_n, the original Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators M_n, their analogies $M_n^{\alpha,\beta}$ with Jacobi weights, certain Stancu operators S_n^{α}, to name just a few. A complete list will be given in the third author's forthcoming thesis on Bernstein-Euler-Jacobi (BEJ) operators.
Here we focus on the building blocks $\mathcal{B}_r^{\alpha,\beta}$ for natural values of r and $\alpha, \beta \geq -1$, and on their moments of all orders. As is well known, knowledge of their behavior is essential for asymptotic statements as, for example, Voronovskaya-type results. We conclude this paper with a discussion concerning over-iterated operators $\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}$.

2 Definition of operators $\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}$

Definition 2.1. *For $f \in C[0,1]$, and $x \in [0,1]$ we define*

(i) in case $\alpha = \beta = -1$:

$$\mathcal{B}_n^{-1,-1}(f;x) = \begin{cases} f(0), x = 0; \\ \dfrac{\int\limits_0^1 t^{nx-1}(1-t)^{n-nx-1} f(t)dt}{B(nx, n-nx)}, 0 < x < 1; \\ f(1), x = 1. \end{cases}$$

(ii) in case $\alpha = -1, \beta > -1$:

$$\mathcal{B}_n^{-1,\beta}(f;x) = \begin{cases} f(0), x = 0; \\ \dfrac{\int\limits_0^1 t^{nx-1}(1-t)^{n-nx+\beta} f(t)dt}{B(nx, n-nx+\beta+1)}, 0 < x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

(iii) in case $\alpha > -1, \beta = -1$:

$$\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,-1}(f;x) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\int\limits_0^1 t^{nx+\alpha}(1-t)^{n-nx-1} f(t)dt}{B(nx+\alpha+1, n-nx)}, 0 \leq x < 1; \\ f(1), x = 1. \end{cases}$$

(iv) in case $\alpha, \beta > -1$:

$$\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(f;x) = \frac{\int\limits_0^1 t^{nx+\alpha}(1-t)^{n-nx+\beta} f(t)dt}{B(nx+\alpha+1, n-nx+\beta+1)}, 0 \leq x \leq 1.$$

Remark 2.1. *When discussing this class of operators one must refer to the papers of Mühlbach [5] and Lupaş in [3] where the first special cases were considered.*
Case $\alpha = \beta = -1$: This case can be traced back to a paper by Mühlbach [5] who used a real number $\frac{1}{\lambda} > 0$ instead of the natural n in the definition above. The same case was investigated by Lupaş in [3], where the operator was denoted by $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n$ (see [3, p.63]).
Case $\alpha = \beta = 0$: These were called Beta operators by Lupaş (see [3, p.37]) and denoted by $\mathbb{B}_n$.

3 Moments and their recursion

Definition 3.1. *Let $\alpha, \beta \geq -1, n > 1, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x \in [0,1]$, then the moment of order m is defined by*

$$T_{n,m}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}((e_1 - xe_0)^m; x).$$

Theorem 3.1.

$$T_{n,0}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = 1,\ T_{n,1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \frac{\alpha + 1 - (\alpha + \beta + 2)x}{n + \alpha + \beta + 2} \tag{3.1}$$

and for $m \geq 1$ we have the following recursion formula

$$\begin{aligned}(n + m + \alpha + \beta + 2)T_{n,m+1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = mXT_{n,m-1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) + \\ +[m + \alpha + 1 - (2m + \alpha + \beta + 2)x]T_{n,m}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $X = x(1-x)$.

Proof. Below we will repeatedly use the function $\psi(t) = t(1-t), t \in [0,1]$. Let $f \in C^1[0,1], \alpha, \beta \geq -1, 0 < x < 1$. Then

$$\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\psi f'; x) = \frac{\int_0^1 t^{nx+\alpha}(1-t)^{n-nx+\beta}t(1-t)f'(t)dt}{B(nx + \alpha + 1, n - nx + \beta + 1)}.$$

Using integration by parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\psi f'; x) = \frac{1}{B(nx + \alpha + 1, n - nx + \beta + 1)}[t^{nx+\alpha+1}(1-t)^{n-nx+\beta+1}f(t)\Big|_0^1 \\ -\int_0^1 f(t)[(nx + \alpha + 1)t^{nx+\alpha}(1-t)^{n-nx+\beta+1} - \\ -(n - nx + \beta + 1)t^{nx+\alpha+1}(1-t)^{n-nx+\beta}]dt \\ = \frac{\int_0^1 f(t)t^{nx+\alpha}(1-t)^{n-nx+\beta}[t(n - nx + \beta + 1) - (1-t)(nx + \alpha + 1)]dt}{B(nx + \alpha + 1, n - nx + \beta + 1)} \\ = \frac{\int_0^1 f(t)t^{nx+\alpha}(1-t)^{n-nx+\beta}[n(t-x) - (\alpha + 1) + t(\alpha + \beta + 2)]dt}{B(nx + \alpha + 1, n - nx + \beta + 1)}\end{aligned}$$

and taking into consideration the identity

$$\begin{aligned}&n(t-x) - (\alpha + 1) + t(\alpha + \beta + 2) = \\ &= ((e_1 - xe_0)(n + \alpha + \beta + 2) + [x(\alpha + \beta + 2) - (\alpha + 1)]e_0)(t)\end{aligned}$$

we can now write

$$\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\psi f';x)=\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}([(e_1-xe_0)(n+\alpha+\beta+2)+(x(\alpha+\beta+2)-(\alpha+1))e_0]f;x). \tag{3.3}$$

In (3.3) we choose $f=(e_1-xe_0)^m$ and use the fact that $t(1-t)=(X+X'(e_1-xe_0)-(e_1-xe_0)^2)(t)$:

$$m\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}([X(e_1-xe_0)^{m-1}+X'(e_1-xe_0)^m-(e_1-xe_0)^{m+1}];x)=$$
$$\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}([(n+\alpha+\beta+2)(e_1-xe_0)^{m+1}-(\alpha+1-(\alpha+\beta+2)x)(e_1-xe_0)^m];x).$$

The equality above becomes successively:

$$mXT_{n,m-1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)+mX'T_{n,m}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)-mT_{n,m+1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)=(n+\alpha+\beta+2)T_{n,m+1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)-$$
$$-[\alpha+1-(\alpha+\beta+2)x]T_{n,m}^{\alpha,\beta}(x);$$

$$(m+n+\alpha+\beta+2)T_{n,m+1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)=mXT_{n,m-1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)+$$
$$+[m+\alpha+1-(\alpha+\beta+2+2m)x]T_{n,m}^{\alpha,\beta}(x).$$

So (3.2) is established for $0<x<1$. Due to the continuity, it is valid also for $x\in\{0,1\}$. □

In particular we have:

Corollary 3.1. *For $\alpha=\beta=0$ we have $\mathcal{B}_n^{0,0}=\mathbb{B}_n$ (Lupaş notation) with the corresponding recurrence formula for the moments:*

$$(n+m+2)T_{n,m+1}^{0,0}(x)=mXT_{n,m-1}^{0,0}(x)+(m+1)X'T_{n,m}^{0,0}(x)$$

where $T_{n,0}^{0,0}(x)=1,\ T_{n,1}^{0,0}(x)=\dfrac{X'}{n+2}$.
For $\alpha=\beta=-1$ we have $\mathcal{B}_n^{-1,-1}=\overline{\mathbb{B}}_n$ (Lupaş notation). Then the recurrence formula becomes

$$(n+m)T_{n,m+1}^{-1,-1}(x)=mXT_{n,m-1}^{-1,-1}(x)+mX'T_{n,m}^{-1,-1}(x)$$

where $T_{n,0}^{-1,-1}(x)=1,\ T_{n,1}^{-1,-1}(x)=0$.

In the sequel we denote by $(a)^{\overline{r}}=a(a+1)\cdot...\cdot(a+r-1)$ the rising factorial function. The next proposition contains another kind of recurrence formula for the moments.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $i\geq 0$ and $j\geq 0$ be integers. Then*

$$T_{n,m}^{\alpha+i,\beta+j}(x)=\frac{(n+\alpha+\beta+2)^{\overline{i+j}}}{(nx+\alpha+1)^{\overline{i}}(nx+\beta+1)^{\overline{j}}}\sum_{k=0}^{i+j}\frac{[x^i(1-x)^j]^{(k)}}{k!}T_{n,m+k}^{\alpha,\beta}(x). \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Using the definition of the Beta operator it is easy to show that

$$\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(t^i(1-t)^j f(t);x) = \frac{(nx+\alpha+1)^{\overline{i}}(nx+\beta+1)^{\overline{j}}}{(n+\alpha+\beta+2)^{\overline{i+j}}}\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha+i,\beta+j}(f(t);x). \quad (3.5)$$

The following equation

$$t^i(1-t)^j = \sum_{k=0}^{i+j}\frac{[x^i(1-x)^j]^{(k)}}{k!}(t-x)^k \quad (3.6)$$

is a consequence of Taylor's formula. Next using (3.6) and the fact that the Beta operator is linear we get

$$\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(t^i(1-t)^j f(t);x) = \sum_{k=0}^{i+j}\frac{[x^i(1-x)^j]^{(k)}}{k!}\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}((t-x)^k f(t);x). \quad (3.7)$$

Combining (3.5) and (3.7) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha+i,\beta+j}(f(t);x) &= \frac{(n+\alpha+\beta+2)^{\overline{i+j}}}{(nx+\alpha+1)^{\overline{i}}(nx+\beta+1)^{\overline{j}}}\times \\ &\times\sum_{k=0}^{i+j}\frac{[x^i(1-x)^j]^{(k)}}{k!}\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}((t-x)^k f(t);x)\end{aligned}$$

For $f(t)=(t-x)^m$ we obtain (3.4). □

Remark 3.1. *Another recurrence formula for the moments of $\mathcal{B}_n^{-1,-1}$ can be found in [5, Satz 3].*

4 The moments of order two

Since the second moment controls to a certain extent the approximation properties of $\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}$, it is useful to have a closer look at it. From Theorem 3.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)+(n-2(\alpha+1)(\alpha+\beta+3))x}{(n+\alpha+\beta+2)(n+\alpha+\beta+3)} + \\ +\frac{(-n+6+(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha+\beta+5))x^2}{(n+\alpha+\beta+2)(n+\alpha+\beta+3)}.\end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

(I). First, let us remark that

$$\lim_{\alpha\to\infty} T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = (1-x)^2, \text{ uniformly on } [0,1], \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\lim_{\beta\to\infty} T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = x^2, \text{ uniformly on } [0,1]. \quad (4.3)$$

Roughly speaking, a large value of α (with a fixed β) suggests a better approximation near 1, and we draw a similar conclusion from (4.3).

(II). Now let $\beta = \alpha \geq -1$. Consider the sequence $s_n := \dfrac{\sqrt{4n+1}-5}{4}, n \geq 1$. In this case,

$$T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\alpha}(x) = \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) - (-n+6+2\alpha(2\alpha+5))x(1-x)}{(n+2\alpha+2)(n+2\alpha+3)}. \tag{4.4}$$

Therefore,

$$T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\alpha}(0) = T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\alpha}(1) = \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)}{(n+2\alpha+2)(n+2\alpha+3)}, \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{4(n+2\alpha+3)}. \tag{4.6}$$

(i) If $-1 \leq \alpha < s_n$, the graph of $T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\alpha}$ has the following form:



This suggests a better approximation near the end points.

(ii) If $\alpha = s_n, T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\alpha}$ is a constant function, namely

$$T_{n,2}^{s_n,s_n}(x) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{4n+1}-1}{4n}\right)^2, x \in [0,1]. \tag{4.7}$$

(iii) For $\alpha > s_n$, the graph looks like



and indicates a better approximation near $\frac{1}{2}$.

(iv) In the extreme cases, when $\alpha = -1$, respectively $\alpha \to \infty$, we have $T_{n,2}^{-1,-1}(x) = \dfrac{x(1-x)}{n+1}$, respectively $\lim\limits_{\alpha\to\infty} T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\alpha}(x) = \left(\dfrac{1-2x}{2}\right)^2$.

5 Asymptotic formulae

Here we present first two asymptotic formulae for higher order moments of $\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}$ in order to arrive at Voronovskaya-type results.

Theorem 5.1. *For $\alpha, \beta \geq -1$ and all $l \geq 1$ one has*

$$(P_l): \begin{cases} \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} n^l T_{n,2l}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = (2l-1)!!X^l, \\ \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} n^l T_{n,2l-1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = X^{l-1}\left[(l-1)!2^{l-1}X' \sum\limits_{k=1}^{l-1} \dfrac{(2k-1)!!}{(2k-2)!!} + \right. \\ \left. +(2l-1)!!(\alpha+1-(\alpha+\beta+2)x)\right]. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

The convergence is uniform on $[0,1]$.

Proof. We shall prove the proposition by induction on $l \geq 1$. $T_{n,1}^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\beta}$ are given by (3.1), respectively (4.1), and it is easy to prove that (P_1) is true. Suppose that (P_l) is true. According to (3.2) and (5.1),

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{l+1} T_{n,2l+1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{l+1} \frac{2lX}{n+2l+\alpha+\beta+2} T_{n,2l-1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) + \\ &+ \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{l+1} \frac{2l+\alpha+1-(4l+\alpha+\beta+2)x}{n+2l+\alpha+\beta+2} T_{n,2l}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) \\ &= 2lX^l\left[(l-1)!2^{l-1}X' \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \frac{(2k-1)!!}{(2k-2)!!} + (2l-1)!!(\alpha+1-(\alpha+\beta+2)x)\right] + \\ &+[2l+\alpha+1-(4l+\alpha+\beta+2)x](2l-1)!!X^l \\ &= X^l[2^l l!X' \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \frac{(2k-1)!!}{(2k-2)!!} \\ &+(2l-1)!!(2l(\alpha+1)-2l(\alpha+\beta+2)x+2l+\alpha+1-(4l+\alpha+\beta+2)x)] \\ &= X^l[2^l l!X' \sum_{k=1}^{l} \frac{(2k-1)!!}{(2k-2)!!} - (2l)!!X' \frac{(2l-1)!!}{(2l-2)!!} + \\ &+(2l-1)!!((2l+1)(\alpha+1-(\alpha+\beta+2)x)+2l-4lx] \\ &= X^l\left[2^l l!X' \sum_{k=1}^{l} \frac{(2k-1)!!}{(2k-2)!!} + (2l+1)!!(\alpha+1-(\alpha+\beta+2)x\right] \end{aligned}$$

and this proves the first formula in (5.1) for $l+1$ instead of l. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{l+1} T_{n,2l+2}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{l+1} \frac{(2l+1)X}{n+2l+1+\alpha+\beta+2} T_{n,2l}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) + \\ &+ \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{l+1} \frac{2l+1+\alpha+1-(4l+2+\alpha+\beta+2)x}{n+2l+1+\alpha+\beta+2} T_{n,2l+1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x) \\ &= (2l+1)X(2l-1)!!X^l = (2l+1)!!X^{l+1}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the second formula in (5.1) for $l+1$ instead of l. This concludes the proof by induction. □

The following result of Sikkema (see [7, p. 241]) will be used below. Note also the 1962 result of Mamedov [4] dealing with a similar problem.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $L_n : B[a,b] \to C[c,d], [c,d] \subseteq [a,b]$, be a sequence of positive linear operators. Let the function $f \in B[a,b]$ be $q-$times differentiable at $x \in [c,d]$, where $q \geq 2$ is a natural number. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that*

(i) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi(n) = \infty$,

(ii) $L_n((e_1-x)^q;x) = \dfrac{c_q(x)}{\varphi(n)} + o\left(\frac{1}{\varphi(n)}\right),\ n \to \infty$, *where $c_q(x)$ does not depend on n,*

(iii) there exists an even number $m > q$ such that $L_n((e_1-x)^m;x) = o\left(\frac{1}{\varphi(n)}\right)$, $n \to \infty$.

Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi(n) \left\{ L_n(f;x) - \sum_{r=0}^{q} \frac{L_n((e_1-x)^r;x)}{r!} f^{(r)}(x) \right\} = 0.$$

Corollary 5.1. *(i) Theorem 5.2 can be rewritten in the form*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi(n) \left\{ L_n(f;x) - \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} \frac{L_n((e_1-x)^r;x)}{r!} f^{(r)}(x) \right\} = c_q(x) \frac{f^{(q)}(x)}{q!}.$$

(ii) If in addition to the assumption of Theorem 5.2, one assumes that

$$L_n((e_1-x)^r;x) = \frac{c_r(x)}{\varphi(n)} + o\left(\frac{1}{\varphi(n)}\right),\ n \to \infty, r = 1,2,...,q,$$

where the functions c_r are independent of n, then one also has

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi(n) \left\{ L_n(f;x) - f(x) L_n(e_0;x) \right\} = \sum_{r=1}^{q} c_r(x) \frac{f^{(r)}(x)}{q!}.$$

That is, all derivatives now appear on the right hand side which is independent of n.

As a consequence of Corollary 5.1 (ii) we have the following Voronovskaya-type relation.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $f \in C^2[0,1]$. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n \left\{ \mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(f;x) - f(x) \right\} = \frac{x(1-x)}{2} f''(x) + [\alpha+1-(\alpha+\beta+2)x] f'(x), \quad (5.2)$$

uniformly on $[0,1]$.

Proof. For $\varphi(n)=n$ and $q=2$ as given in Corollary 5.1 (ii),

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n\left\{\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(f;x)-f(x)\right\}=\sum_{r=1}^{2} c_r(x)\frac{f^{(r)}(x)}{r!}=c_1(x)\frac{f'(x)}{1!}+c_2(x)\frac{f''(x)}{2!}$$

where $c_r(x)=\lim_{n\to\infty} nT_{n,r}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)$. By using Lemma 5.1 with $l=1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(x) &= \alpha+1-(\alpha+\beta+2)x \\ c_2(x) &= X, \end{aligned}$$

and this concludes the proof. □

Remark 5.1. *As a consequence of Lemma 5.1 and Corollary 5.1 (i) we deduce similarly that for $f\in C^{2l}[0,1]$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n^l\left\{\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(f(t);x)-\sum_{k=0}^{2l-1}\frac{f^{(k)}(x)}{k!}T_{n,k}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)\right\}=\frac{(2l-1)!!}{(2l)!}X^l f^{(2l)}(x), l\geq 1. \tag{5.3}$$

From this we get also

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n\to\infty} n^l\left\{\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(f(t);x)-\sum_{k=0}^{2l-2}\frac{f^{(k)}(x)}{k!}T_{n,k}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)\right\} &= \frac{(2l-1)!!}{(2l)!}X^l f^{(2l)}(x)+ \\ +\frac{X^{l-1}}{(2l-1)!}\Bigg[(l-1)!2^{l-1}X'\sum_{k=1}^{l-1}\frac{(2k-1)!!}{(2k-2)!!}+ & \\ +(2l-1)!!(\alpha+1-(\alpha+\beta+2)x)\Bigg]f^{(2l-1)}(x). & \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Remark 5.2. *In order to compare the above with a special previous result for the case $\alpha=\beta=-1$ we manipulate the left hand side of (5.4) for $l=2$ by writing*

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n\to\infty} n[n(\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(f(t);x)-f(x))-(\alpha+1-(\alpha+\beta+2)x)f'(x)-\frac{X}{2}f''(x)] \\ &=\lim_{n\to\infty} n^2\left[(\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(f(t);x)-f(x)-T_{n,1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)f'(x)-T_{n,2}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)\frac{f''(x)}{2}\right]+ \\ &+\lim_{n\to\infty} n[nT_{n,1}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)-(\alpha+1-(\alpha+\beta+2)x)]f'(x)+ \\ &+\frac{1}{2}\lim_{n\to\infty} n[nT_{n,2}^{\alpha,\beta}(x)-X]f''(x). \end{aligned}$$

By using (3.1), (5.1) and (5.4) with $l=2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n\to\infty} n[n(\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}(f(t);x)-f(x))-\frac{X}{2}f''(x)-(\alpha+1-(\alpha+\beta+2)x)f'(x)] \\ &=\frac{1}{8}X^2f^{IV}(x)+\frac{1}{6}X(3\alpha+5-(3\alpha+3\beta+10)x)f'''(x)- \\ &-(\alpha+\beta+2)(\alpha+1-(\alpha+\beta+2)x)f'(x)+\frac{1}{2}f''(x)[(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)- \\ &-(2\alpha^2+2\alpha\beta+10\alpha+4\beta+11)x+x^2((\alpha+\beta)(\alpha+\beta+7)+11)]. \end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha=\beta=-1$, this reduces to

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n[n(\mathcal{B}_n^{-1,-1}(f;x)-f(x))-\frac{X}{2}f''(x)]=$$
$$=\frac{1}{24}\left(3X^2f^{IV}(x)+8X(1-2x)f'''(x)-12Xf''(x)\right).$$

This result can be also deduced from [1, Remark 3].

6 Iterates of $\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}$

1. $\alpha=\beta=-1$. In this case $\mathcal{B}_n^{-1,-1}$ are positive linear operators preserving linear functions, and $\mathcal{B}_n^{-1,-1}e_2(x)=\dfrac{nx(nx+1)}{n(n+1)}>e_2(x)$, for $0<x<1$. Consequently

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\left(\mathcal{B}_n^{-1,-1}\right)^m f(x)=(1-x)f(0)+xf(1), f\in C[0,1],$$

uniformly on $[0,1]$ (see [6]).

2. $\alpha>-1,\beta=-1$. Then $\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,-1}$ are positive linear operators preserving constant functions, $\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,-1}f(1)=f(1)$ for all $f\in C[0,1]$, and

$$\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,-1}e_2(x)=\frac{(nx+\alpha+1)(nx+\alpha+2)}{(n+\alpha+1)(n+\alpha+2)}>e_2(x), 0\le x<1.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\left(\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,-1}\right)^m f(x)=f(1), f\in C[0,1],$$

uniformly on $[0,1]$ (see [6]).

3. $\alpha=-1,\beta>-1$. As in the previous case, one proves that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\left(\mathcal{B}_n^{-1,\beta}\right)^m f(x)=f(0), f\in C[0,1].$$

4. $\alpha>-1,\beta>-1$. In this case we have for all $k\ge 0$,

$$\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}e_k(x)=\frac{(nx+\alpha+1)^{\overline{k}}}{(n+\alpha+\beta+2)^{\overline{k}}}, x\in[0,1].$$

From this we get

$$\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}e_k(x)=\frac{1}{(n+\alpha+\beta+2)^{\overline{k}}}\sum_{j=0}^{k}s_{k-j}(k,\alpha)n^jx^j, \tag{6.1}$$

where $s_{k-j}(k,\alpha)$ are elementary symmetric sums of the numbers $\alpha+1,\alpha+2,...,\alpha+k$; in particular $s_0(k,\alpha)=1$ and

$$s_1(k,\alpha)=(\alpha+1)+...+(\alpha+k)=k\alpha+\frac{k(k+1)}{2}. \tag{6.2}$$

It follows that the numbers

$$\lambda_{n,k} := \frac{n^k}{(n+\alpha+\beta+2)^{\overline{k}}}, k \geq 0,$$

are eigenvalues of $\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta}$, and to each of them there corresponds a monic eigenpolynomial $p_{n,k}$ with $deg\ p_{n,k} = k$. Let $p \in \Pi$ and $d = deg\ p$. Then p has a decomposition

$$p = a_{n,0}(p)p_{n,0} + a_{n,1}(p)p_{n,1} + ... + a_{n,d}(p)p_{n,d}$$

with some coefficients $a_{n,k}(p) \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\lambda_{n,0} = 1$ and $p_{n,0} = e_0$ we get

$$(\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta})^m p = a_{n,0}(p)e_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{d} a_{n,k}(p)\lambda_{n,k}^m p_{n,k},\ m \geq 1$$

and so

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} (\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta})^m p = a_{n,0}(p)e_0,\ p \in \Pi. \tag{6.3}$$

Consider the linear functional $\mu_n : \Pi \to \mathbb{R}, \mu_n(p) = a_{n,0}(p)$, and the linear operator $P_n : \Pi \to \Pi$,

$$P_n p = \mu_n(p)e_0,\ p \in \Pi.$$

Then (6.3) becomes

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} (\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta})^m p = P_n p,\ p \in \Pi. \tag{6.4}$$

Obviously P_n is positive, and so μ_n is positive; moreover, $||\mu_n|| = 1$ because $\mu_n(e_0) = 1$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, μ_n can be extended to a norm-one linear functional on $C[0,1]$. Since Π is dense in $C[0,1]$, the extension is unique and the extended functional $\mu_n : C[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is also positive. Now P_n can be extended from Π to $C[0,1]$ by setting $P_n : C[0,1] \to \Pi, P_n f = \mu_n(f)e_0, f \in C[0,1]$. Remark that

$$||(\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta})^m|| = ||P_n|| = 1,\ m \geq 1. \tag{6.5}$$

Using again the fact that Π is dense in $C[0,1]$, we get from (6.4) and (6.5)

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} (\mathcal{B}_n^{\alpha,\beta})^m f = P_n f,\ f \in C[0,1]. \tag{6.6}$$

On the other hand, from (6.1) we deduce the following recurrence formula for the computation of $P_n e_k, k \geq 1$:

$$\left((n+\alpha+\beta+2)^{\overline{k}} - n^k\right) P_n e_k = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{k-j}(k,\alpha) n^j P_n e_j.$$

Since $P_n e_k = \mu_n(e_k)e_0$, we get for $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$

$$\mu_n(e_k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{k-j}(k,\alpha) \frac{n^j}{(n+\alpha+\beta+2)^{\overline{k}} - n^k} \mu_n(e_j). \tag{6.7}$$

Using (6.7) it is easy to prove by induction on k that there exists

$$\mu(e_k) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n(e_k), k \geq 0, \tag{6.8}$$

and, moreover,

$$\mu(e_k) = \frac{s_1(k,\alpha)}{(\alpha+\beta+2)+...+(\alpha+\beta+k+1)}\mu(e_{k-1}),$$

i.e., taking (6.2) into account,

$$\mu(e_k) = \frac{2\alpha+k+1}{2\alpha+2\beta+k+3}\mu(e_{k-1}),\ k \geq 1.$$

Since $\mu(e_0) = 1$, it follows that

$$\mu(e_k) = \frac{(2\alpha+2)^{\overline{k}}}{(2\alpha+2\beta+4)^{\overline{k}}},\ k \geq 0.$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\mu(e_k) = \frac{B(2\alpha+k+2,2\beta+2)}{B(2\alpha+2,2\beta+2)} = \frac{\int_0^1 t^{2\alpha+1}(1-t)^{2\beta+1}e_k(t)dt}{\int_0^1 t^{2\alpha+1}(1-t)^{2\beta+1}dt},$$

so that

$$\mu(p) = \frac{\int_0^1 t^{2\alpha+1}(1-t)^{2\beta+1}p(t)dt}{\int_0^1 t^{2\alpha+1}(1-t)^{2\beta+1}dt}, p \in \Pi.$$

Consider the extension of μ to $C[0,1]$, i.e.,

$$\mu(f) = \frac{\int_0^1 t^{2\alpha+1}(1-t)^{2\beta+1}f(t)dt}{\int_0^1 t^{2\alpha+1}(1-t)^{2\beta+1}dt}, f \in C[0,1],$$

and the positive linear operator $P : C[0,1] \to \Pi, Pf = \mu(f)e_0, f \in C[0,1]$. Acording to (6.8), $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mu_n(p) = \mu(p), p \in \Pi$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P_n p = Pp, p \in \Pi. \tag{6.9}$$

Since $||P_n|| = ||P|| = 1, n \geq 1$, we conclude from (6.9) that $\lim_{n\to\infty} P_n f = Pf, f \in C[0,1]$. Thus, for the operators P_n described in (6.6) we have proved:

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\alpha > -1, \beta > -1$. Then for each $f \in C[0,1]$ and $n \geq 1$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P_n f = \frac{\int_0^1 t^{2\alpha+1}(1-t)^{2\beta+1} f(t)dt}{\int_0^1 t^{2\alpha+1}(1-t)^{2\beta+1}dt} e_0.$$

For $\alpha = \beta = 0$, this result was obtained, with different methods, in [2].

References

[1] U. Abel, V. Gupta, R.N. Mohapatra: Local approximation by Beta operators, *Nonlinear Analysis* **62** (2005), 41-52.

[2] A. Attalienti, I. Raşa: Overiterated linear operators and asymptotic behavior of semigroups, *Mediterr. J. Math.* **5** (2008), 315-324.

[3] A. Lupaş: *Die Folge der Betaoperatoren*, Ph.D Thesis, Stuttgart: Universität Stuttgart, 1972.

[4] R.G. Mamedov: The asymptotic value of the approximation of multiply differentiable functions by positive linear operators. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **146** (1962), 1013-1016.

[5] G. Mühlbach: Rekursionsformeln für die zentralen Momente der Pólya und der Beta-Verteilung, *Metrika* **19** (1972), 171-177.

[6] I. Raşa: C_0 - semigroups and iterates of positive linear operators: asymptotic behaviour, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo*, Ser. II, Suppl. **82** (2010), 123-142.

[7] P.C. Sikkema: Über die Schurerschen linearen positiven Operatoren. I. (German) *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 78 = Indag. Math.* **37** (1975), 230-242.

Heiner Gonska
University of Duisburg-Essen
Faculty for Mathematics
47048 Duisburg, Germany
heiner.gonska@uni − due.de

Ioan Raşa
Technical University of Cluj-Napoca
Department of Mathematics
400114 Cluj-Napoca, Romania
Ioan.Rasa@math.utcluj.ro

Elena-Dorina Stănilă
University of Duisburg-Essen
Faculty for Mathematics
47048 Duisburg, Germany
elena.stanila@stud.uni − due.de